

## joseph carson

---

**From:** Carson, Joseph P. <Joseph.Carson@orem.doe.gov>  
**Sent:** Friday, December 7, 2018 11:59 AM  
**To:** Mullis, Jay; Perkins, Larry D; Hubbard, Chelsea D; White, Anne M. (HQ); Dabbar, Paul (HQ); Dabbar, Paul (HQ); Secretary Perry (HQ)  
**Cc:** Thress, Don Frederick; Fygi, Eric (HQ)  
**Subject:** Joseph Carson, PE, whistleblower reprisal complaint to OSC, involving you  
**Attachments:** osc-complaint-perry-1206.pdf

To: My supervisory chain in the Department of Energy:

It would take a moral courage I have rarely seen a supervisor in DOE, since I joined in 1990, for any of you to do other than bystand to my whistleblower disclosures.

Is DOE deeply, if not fundamentally, corrupt? If my claims are vindicated that DOE attorneys, possibly including Don Thress and Eric Fygi, conspired with DOE line management to engage in unlawful reprisal against me in the 1999-2003 time period, then abused attorney-client privilege to protect themselves (and others) in responding to discovery in a reprisal appeal at MSPB, I think so. A fundamentally corrupt DOE is, in my opinion, inconsistent with the hope and aspiration that planet earth be other than a burnt-out, depopulated, cinder in year 2118. So please understand my position that I am willing to risk my employment in DOE and PE license to obtain at least a "reasonable belief" determination about my whistleblower disclosures, detailed in my attached whistleblower reprisal complaint.

OSC may well claim, in investigating the attached whistleblower reprisal complaint, that your bystanding to my whistleblower disclosures is not a "personnel action," because it is not a "significant change in my working conditions," per 5 U.S.C. section 2302(a)(2)(A)(xii) see <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/5/2302>. If so, then OSC will likely evade making a "reasonable belief" determination about my whistleblower disclosures. In that case, then please expect me to claim to my colleagues in DOE that your failure to comply with your statutory duties (for Secretary Perry at 5 U.S.C. section 2302(c)(2)(A) and (B); otherwise per your performance plans and 5 U.S.C. section 4302(b), see <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/5/4302> ) in responding to my whistleblower disclosures, should disqualify you from holding your positions, given their significant implications for the public health, safety, security and welfare. I will do this in order to spur my receiving a disciplinary action – such as a reprimand, a suspension, or termination - so that OSC will not be able to claim, in order to evade making a "reasonable belief" determination about my whistleblower disclosures, that I have not experienced a "personnel action" related to my whistleblower disclosures.

Respectfully,

Joseph Carson, PE  
Facility Oversight Branch, EM-931  
Oak Ridge Office of Environmental Management (OREM)  
Office: 865-576-1478  
Cell/Text: 865-300-5831  
E-mail: Joseph.Carson@orem.doe.gov