

November 19, 2007

Mr. James Brodie
Intake Counsel
Virginia State Bar
Eighth and Main Building
707 East Main St, Ste 1500
Richmond, VA 23219-2800

Re: Request for Reconsideration, Christopher James Armstrong complaint

Dear Mr. Brodie,

In response to your letter of November 15, 2007, I request further information and/or reconsideration.

Specifically, why is “it beyond the purview of the bar to determine whether Mr. Armstrong violated any statutory obligations”? And why is that even an issue, given the findings of Federal Judge Paul Friedman that the letters he signed on behalf of OSC, his employer, failed to comply with relevant statute?

The findings of Judge Friedman are unambiguous - Mr. Armstrong, in his role as an attorney employed by Office of Special Counsel, failed to comply with aspects of the law he was hired to implement - 5 U.S.C. 1214 - to protect me from prohibited personnel practices (PPP's) in my employment as a nuclear safety engineer in US Department of Energy.

It seems to me that you are saying, on behalf of the Virginia State Bar, that when an attorney licensed by the State of Virginia is specifically hired to implement specific laws on behalf of his employer and fails to do so, as determined by a Court of law, the Virginia Bar does not care - not even when his employer is the government agency responsible to protect concerned federal employees from workplace retribution when they responsibly voice concerns about the safeguards and security of America's nuclear stockpile or other issues of utmost importance to public health and safety.

Is that what you are saying on behalf of the Virginia State Bar?

Respectfully,

Joe Carson, PE
10953 Twin Harbour Drive
Knoxville, TN 37934